Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,154	BOGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark L. Shevin	1793
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending first action</u>		
(1) Mark L. Shevin.	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard L. Schwaab</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 February 2008	Time: <u>2:20 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
I called Mr. Schwaab on 19 Febraury 2008 to describe the a restriction requirement but one was not made. A representative from Foley and Lardner LLP called me on 20 February 2008 at 9:20 am to request a written restriction.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Mark L. Shevin/		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)